

EUROBATS National Implementation Report

In the Resolution 7.4, the 7th Meeting of Parties to EUROBATS decided to adopt a new format for the National Implementation Reports and instructed the Secretariat to make this new format available for online completion in time for MoP8.

Present format of national reports was carefully revised by the relevant Intersessional Working Group during the 20th Meeting of the Advisory Committee (2015) in order to include the Resolutions of MoP7 and is now available on the CMS Family Online Reporting System (ORS).

Please visit the Support Centre page in case of any questions regarding the Online Reporting System. The link is available in the bottom left corner.

A. General Information

Name of your country > Latvia

Period covered by this report > 2015-2018

Is your country a party to EUROBATS Agreement? \square Yes

Competent authority

Title, address, phone, fax, e-mail and other contact details
> Ministry of Environmental Protection and Regional Development
Peldu iela 25
Rīga, LV-1494
Latvia
+371 67026524

Personal details of administrative focal point (s)

> Vilnis Bernards
Senior Desk Officer
Nature Protection Department
Ministry of Environment protection and Regional Development
+371 67026524
vilnis.bernards@varam.gov.lv

Please give details of designated scientifical focal points > Dr. Gunārs Pētersons
Latvia University of Agriculture
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
8 K Helmana Str.
3004 Jelgava
LATVIA

Compilers and contributors to this report > Scientific focal point

B. Status of bat species within the territory

Please assess a national status ONLY for those bat species from the Annex 1 to EUROBATS Agreement that were recorded in your country

Barbastella barbastellus (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species occurrence
☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 100-500 adult individuals

Overall national trend

☑ Not studied

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)
☑ Other

Year of assessment > 2007-2012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Yes

Year of report > 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal				V	
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Eptesicus nilssonii (Keyserling & Blasius, 1839)

Status of the species occurrence

Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 10000-50000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

☑ Positive

Year of assessment

> 2007-2012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Yes

Year of report

> 2017-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal	V				
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Eptesicus serotinus (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species occurrence
☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 100-500 adult individuals

Overall national trend

☑ Not studied

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)

☑ Other

Year of assessment

> 20072012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Year of report

> 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown.

NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal				V	
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis brandtii (Eversmann, 1845)

Status of the species occurrence
☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required

> Population: 10000-30000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

☑ Positive

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)

☑ Other

Year of assessment

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not used

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Yes

Year of report

> 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal				V	
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis dasycneme (Boie, 1825)

Status of the species occurrence Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 5000-10000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

☑ Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)
☑ Other

Year of assessment > 2007-2012

Other categories

National Red List Status details > Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Yes

Year of report

> 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown.

NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal		\			
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis daubentonii (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species occurrence Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 10000-50000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

Positive

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Other

Year of assessment

> 2007-2012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Year of report

> 2017-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal	Ø				
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis myotis (Borkhausen, 1797)

Status of the species occurrence
☑ Occasional

Myotis mystacinus (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species occurrence
☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Pooulation: 1000-5000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

☑ Positive

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)

☑ Other

Year of assessment

> 2007-2012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Yes

Year of report > 200702012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown.

NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal				\Box	
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis nattereri (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species occurrence
☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 1000-5000 adult animals

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)

☑ Other

Year of assessment

> 2007-2012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not used

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Year of report

> 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal		V			

Continental			
Macaronesian			
Mediterranean			
Arctic			
Black Sea			
Pannonian			
Steppic			
Anatolian			

Nyctalus leisleri (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species occurrence
☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 100-500 adult individuals

Overall national trend

☑ Not studied

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)

☑ Other

Year of assessment

> 200702012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Year of report

> 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown.

NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal		V			
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					

Black Sea			
Pannonian			
Steppic			
Anatolian			

Nyctalus noctula (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species occurrence
☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 50000 10000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

Not studied

E Not Stadica

Year of assessment > 2007-2012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Year of report

> 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal		V			
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Pipistrellus nathusii (Keyserling & Blasius, 1839)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 10000-50000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

☑ Positive

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)

Other

Year of assessment

> 2007-2012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Year of report

> 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal		V			
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Pipistrellus pipistrellus (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species occurrence

☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 1000-5000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

✓ Not studied

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)

☑ Other

Year of assessment

> 200702012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Year of report

> 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal				V	
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Pipistrellus pygmaeus (Leach, 1825)

Status of the species occurrence
Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 500-1000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

 $\ \ \square$ Not studied

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)
☑ Other

Year of assessment > 2007-2012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Year of report

> 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown.

NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal				abla	
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Plecotus auritus (Linnaeus, 1758)

Status of the species occurrence
☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 10000-50000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

☑ Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists)
☑ Other

Year of assessment > 2007-2012

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

Yes

Year of report

> 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal	Ø				
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Vespertilio murinus Linnaeus, 1758

Status of the species occurrence
☑ Resident

General comments

Comments

Add specific comments, if required > Population: 1000-5000 adult individuals

Overall national trend

 $\ensuremath{\square}$ Not studied

Year of assessment

> 2007-2012

Other categories

National Red List Status details

> Red List not ussed

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

√ Yes

Year of report > 2007-2012

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV= favourable; U1= unfavourable-inadequate; U2= unfavourable-bad); XX= unknown. NO= doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	X X	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal	V				
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

C. Measures taken to implement Article III of the Agreement

Please, give details of the legislation which is protecting bats

> Species and Habitats Conservation Law (2000)
Cabinet of Ministers Regulations Nr 396 List of Specially Protected Species and Species with Limited Exploitation (2000)

Which species are not protected and why?

> Not relevant

Comments

> All bat species strictly protected

1. Guidelines for the issue of permits for the capture and study of captured wild bats

Comments (optional)

> Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No 1165 "Procedures for Issuing Permits for Acquiring Individuals of Non-Game Species, for Introducing Wild Species Uncharacteristic to the Nature of Latvia (Introduction), and Restoring Populations of Species in the Nature (Re-Introduction)" (2010)

System of permits or licences to keep bats for educational or animal welfare purposes $\ \square$ In place

Comments

> Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No 1165 "Procedures for Issuing Permits for Acquiring Individuals of Non-Game Species, for Introducing Wild Species Uncharacteristic to the Nature of Latvia (Introduction), and Restoring Populations of Species in the Nature (Re-Introduction)" (2010)

System of permits or licences for sampling, ringing, killing of bats for scientific studies
☑ Exists

Comments (optional)

> Cabinet of Ministers Regulations No 1165 "Procedures for Issuing Permits for Acquiring Individuals of Non-Game Species, for Introducing Wild Species Uncharacteristic to the Nature of Latvia (Introduction), and Restoring Populations of Species in the Nature (Re-Introduction)" (2010)

2.Identified and protected sites which are important to the conservation of bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 5.7. Guidelines for the protection of overground roosts, with particular reference to roosts in buildings of cultural heritage importance

2.4. List of national important overground roosts (including legal/physical protection status)
☑ Doesn't exist

Comments

- > The national bat data base is currently developed. It will include all historical and actual bat roosts including those known from historical buildings. The list of national important overground roosts will be set up until end of 2019
- 2.5. National guidelines for custodians of historical buildings on the protection of bat roosts have been developed

✓ No

Comments

- > The national bat data base is currently developed
- 2.6. Summary report on interactions between the relevant cultural and natural heritage agencies (attach a file or provide a description)
- > None

Comments

> None

Other activities carried out under this resolution (optional)

> The national species protection plan of the pond bat Myotis dasycneme is currently developed. One of the most important conservation issue of this species is the protection of the nursery colonies. Majority of the colonies occupy churches. The potential threats of the pond bats are renovations and iluminations of the churches.

Resolution 7.6. Guidelines for the protection and management of important underground habitats for bats

Updated counts of bats at each listed site are submitted to the Secretariat $\ensuremath{\square}$ No

2.1. List of important underground sites

2.1. List of important underground sites for bats and measures of their protection (including Natura 2000, Emerald or other status) was submitted to EUROBATS
☑ Yes

Comments

- > None
- 2.2. Management of important underground sites for bats is in accordance with EUROBATS Publication n^2 \square Yes

Comments

- > The free access to some artificial caves in National Park Gauja is limited by errecting grills at their entrances. One former soviet missiles base was demolated, but part of underground corridors and rooms were kept as bat hibernacula following the instructions given by bat specialists. Artificial shelters for bats were set up in underground corridors.
- 2.3. Other relevant activities for the protection of underground habitats
- > None

3. Consideration given to habitats which are important to bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 7.7. Bat conservation and sustainable forest management

National guidance has been developed based on the principles in the EUROBATS Bats and Forestry leaflet $\ \square$ No

Examples of best practice for forest management are submitted to the Secretariat $\ \square$ No

If no, provide explanations or give links to available examples

> No special reserch on bats in forest habitats has been carried out

Research in forest management that is sustainable for bats (attach file or provide links)

> No special reserch on bats in forest habitats has been carried out. However inventory of forest bats has been done in the frame of development of numeroeus Nature conservation plans of protected areas.

Resolution 7.8. Conservation and management of critical feeding areas, core areas around colonies and commuting routes

Awareness of the importance of critical feeding areas, core areas around known colonies and commuting routes for bats exists

☑ No

Measures to take bats into account in land use and planning decisions

Research and monitoring to improve understanding of the use of landscape by bats are ongoing \square Yes

National guidelines, drawing on the general guidance published in EUROBATS Publication have been developed

☑ No

4. Activities to promote the awareness of the importance of conservation of bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

- 4.1. International Bat Night. Give details for each year: number of events and number of people participated
- > The Bat Night event has been taken place in 2014, 2015,2016 and 2017 in the beginning of July in National park Kemeri with yearly numbers of participants between 100-200 people. In June 2016 and 2017 a Bat Night event took place in National park Razna with 60 and 15 participants. In 2016 the brown long-eared bat Plecotus auritus was selected as the "hero" of the traditional and popular event Nature Concert Hall (see more http://www.natureconcerthall.com). The popular concert and many bat related activities was organized in two parks (Lūznava and Zaļenieki) with 6000 and 10000 visitors. In 2016 the Nature Concerthall received the "Natura 2000" price in the category "Communication".
- 4.2. Details of other important activities which are worth to mention (educational centres, etc.)

 > No specific educational centres
- 4.3. Information on training and awareness raising for forest managers and workers, farmers, road workers, stakeholders involved in insulation of buildings, etc.
- > No specific awareness raising for specific groups

5. Additional actions undertaken to safeguard populations of bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 2.2. Consistent monitoring methodologies

5.1. Implementation of EUROBATS guidelines published in EUROBATS Publication n°5 to ensure consistency and information exchange between Parties and Range States
☑ Yes

Please give details

The following monitoring schemes are currently undertaken:
 Yearly counts of hibernating bats at about 150 underground sites
 Counts of the pond bats at maternity colonies in about 20 buildings
 Acoustic monitoring and catching of bats in the field station Pape, SW coast of Latvia, during the autumn migration. The monitoring programmes are financed by Latvian Nature Conservation Agency.

Resolution 5.4. Monitoring bats across Europe

Awareness-raising of the importance of underground sites

Yes

Collaboration and information exchange with other Parties and range states on surveillance and monitoring activities

Yes

5.14. Monitoring bats in accordance with EUROBATS Publication n°5

5.15. Capacity building of bat workers and surveyors to support the undertaking of bat surveillance projects

Exists

Other activities under Resolution 5.4.

> None

Resolution 6.6. Guidelines for the prevention, detection and control of lethal fungal infections in bats

Resolution 6.13. Bats as indicators for biodiversity

5.19. Does your country support a development of national, regional and pan-European biodiversity indicators for appropriate target audiences, using bat data ☑ No

5.20. Bat data is incorporated within high profile national multi-taxa indicators

5.22. Cooperation platforms that facilitate the required data exchange
☑ Don't exist

Resolution 7.5. Wind turbines and bat populations

5.2. Raising awareness on the impact of turbines on bats and the existence of some unsuitable habitats or sites for construction

√ Yes

5.3. Pre-construction impact assessments, if possible, undertaken by suitably experienced bat experts $\ o$ Yes

5.4. National guidelines were developed following Eurobats Pub. No. 6 $\ \square$ No

National guidelines are implemented

√ No

5.5. Investigations and research for mitigating bat mortality have been undertaken

✓ No

5.7. Post-construction monitoring, if possible, is undertaken by suitably experienced bat experts

If yes, give details

✓ No

5.8. Raw data from environmental impact assessment and post-construction monitoring is available for independent scientific analysis

✓ No

5.9. Blade feathering, higher cut-in wind speeds and shutting down turbines are used to reduce or avoid bat mortality

✓ No

Comments (optional)

> There are no working wind turbines, which are contructed after EIA done by bat experts

Resolution 7.9. Impact of roads and other traffic infrastructures on bats

5.23. Bats are taken into account during the planning, construction and operation of roads and other infrastructure projects

Yes

5.24 Pre-construction strategic and environmental impacts assessment procedures are mandatory
☑ Required occasionally

5.25. Post-construction monitoring

☑ Isn't required

5.26. Raw data from environmental impact assessment and post-construction monitoring is available for independent scientific analysis

☑ No

5.27. Research into the impact of new and, where appropriate, existing roads and other infrastructure on bats and into the effectiveness of mitigation measures

✓ No

5.28. National guidelines are developed

✓ No

Resolution 7.10. Bat Rescue and Rehabilitation

5.29. Animal rescue and rehabilitation systems are effective in the country

☑ No

5.30. Collaboration between bat rehabilitators and scientists

☑ Doesn't exist

5.31. Bat rehabilitators contribute their data to a national database

✓ No

Other activities carried out under Resolution 7.10 (optional)

> Occasionaly bat specialists and veterinarians have taken bats for rehabilitation

Resolution 7.11. Bats and building insulation

5.32. Are there conflicts between insulation regulations and bat conservation?

Yes

5.34. Impacts on bats are included in the environmental assessment of insulation programs

√ No

Resolution 7.12. Priority species for autecological studies Rhinolophus blasii Peters, 1866

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Eptesicus isabellinus (Temminck, 1840)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Myotis escalerai Cabrera, 1904

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Nyctalus azoreum (Thomas, 1901)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Nyctalus lasiopterus (Schreber, 1780)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Pipistrellus hanaki Hulva & Benda, 2004

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Pipistrellus maderensis (Dobson, 1878)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Plecotus kolombatovici Dulic, 1980

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Plecotus sardus Mucedda, Kiefer, Pidinchedda & Veith, 2002

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Plecotus teneriffae Barrett-Hamilton, 1907

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Comments (optional)

> No one of the priority species belongs to Latvian fauna

6. Recent and ongoing programmes (including research and policy initiatives) relating to conservation and management of bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 2.3. Transboundary programme: species proposals

6.1. Inclusion of Myotis dasycneme and Pipistrellus nathusii in transboundary cooperation

Yes

Resolution 2.4. Transboundary programme: habitat proposals

- 6.2. National research on underground sites has been undertaken since the last reporting $\ \square$ No
- 6.3. National research on bats in forests $\ \square$ No

Resolution 5.2. Bat rabies in Europe

- 6.5. National bat rabies surveillance network
 ☑ Yes
- 6.6. Vaccination against rabies is compulsory $\ \square$ No
- 6.7. Details of the institution(s) in charge of recording of all test results and their submission to the World Health Organisation

> Food and Veterinary Service Peldu street 30, Riga, LV-1050, Latvia

Phone: +371 67095230 Fax: +371 67322727 e-mail: pvd@pvd.gov.lv

Resolution 6.5. Guidelines on ethics for research and field work practices

6.9. National Code of Practice that addresses the context and legitimacy of acquisition, due diligence, long-term care, documentation, relevance and institutional aims

☑ Doesn't exist

Resolution 6.8. Monitoring of daily and seasonal movements of bats

Please select a species for which a research in daily/seasonal movements has been conducted from the list

Eptesicus nilssonii (Keyserling & Blasius, 1839)

New data on daily movements was obtained
☑ No

New data on seasonal movements was obtained

☑ Yes

Please attach a list of references > not published

Comments (optional)

> Yearly data based on acoustic monitoring and catching.

Nyctalus noctula (Schreber, 1774)

New data on daily movements was obtained
☑ No

New data on seasonal movements was obtained $\ riangle$ Yes

Please attach a list of references

> not published

Comments (optional)

> Yearly data based on acoustic monitoring and catching at the field station Pape, SW coast of Latvia

Pipistrellus nathusii (Keyserling & Blasius, 1839)

New data on daily movements was obtained

✓ No

New data on seasonal movements was obtained

Yes

Please attach a list of references

> not published

Comments (optional)

> Yearly data based on acoustic monitoring, catching and banding at the field station Pape, SW coast of Latvia

Pipistrellus pygmaeus (Leach, 1825)

New data on daily movements was obtained

☑ No

New data on seasonal movements was obtained

Yes

Please attach a list of references

> not published

Comments (optional)

> Yearly data based on acoustic monitoring, catching and banding at the field station Pape, SW coast of Latvia

Vespertilio murinus Linnaeus, 1758

New data on daily movements was obtained

☑ No

New data on seasonal movements was obtained

7. Consideration being given to the potential effects of pesticides on bats, and their food sources and efforts to replace timber treatment chemicals which are highly toxic to bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 4.5. Guidelines for the use of remedial timber treatment

7.1. Small projects to provide basic data to allow an assessment of the potential impact of industry on bat populations

✓ No

7.2. Raising awareness of product users is taking place

☑ No

7.3. Legislation on products which have any adverse effects on bats

☑ Doesn't exist

Resolution 6.15. Impact on bat populations of the use of antiparasitic drugs for livestock

7.4. Efficient non-chemical methods to control livestock parasites and use of products of least toxicity to non-target species implemented
☑ No

7.5. Research on the use of antiparasitic drugs

√ No

7.6. Recommendations in Annex I to the Resolution 6.15 are adopted

✓ No

7.7. Other activities carried out under this resolution

> None

8. Further important activities to share with other Parties and Range States

Give details or provide links > None

Confirmation

Confirmation of information verification and approval for submission

Please confirm:

In addition a scanned copy of an official letter from the relevant state institution, approving the report for submission, can be attached.

☑ I declare that the information provided in the Report on the implementation of EUROBATS has been verified and the report has been approved for submission by the appropriate state institution in the country.

You have attached the following documents to this answer.

Confirmation Eurobats2018 LV.jpg

Date of submission

Fill as follows: dd.mm.yyyy > 10.07.2018