

EUROBATS National Implementation Report

In the Resolution 7.4, the 7th Meeting of Parties to EUROBATS decided to adopt a new format for the National Implementation Reports and instructed the Secretariat to make this new format available for online completion in time for MoP8.

Present format of national reports was carefully revised by the relevant Intersessional Working Group during the 20th Meeting of the Advisory Committee (2015) in order to include the Resolutions of MoP7 and is now available on the CMS Family Online Reporting System (ORS).

Please visit the Support Centre page in case of any questions regarding the Online Reporting System. The link is available in the bottom left corner.

A. General Information

Name of your country > Belgium

Period covered by this report > 2014-2018

Is your country a party to EUROBATS Agreement? ☑ Yes

Competent authority

Title, address, phone, fax, e-mail and other contact details

- > Flanders region: Agency Nature & Forests
- Walloon region: Service public de Wallonie (SPW DGARNE / DGO3)
- Brussels Capital region: Brussels Environment

Personal details of administrative focal point (s) > Mr. Jeroen Panis Government of Flanders Agency Nature & Forests Phone: +32 2 553 54 95 / +32 474 881 037 E-mail: jeroen.panis@vlaanderen.be

Please give details of designated scientifical focal points > Dr. Ludo Holsbeek **Government of Flanders** Department of Environment Phone: +32 2 553 80 34 / +32 498 19 49 67 E-mail: ludo.holsbeek@vlaanderen.be Dr. Thierry Kervyn SPW-DGARNE-DEMNA Phone: +32 81 620 448 / +32 477 260 399 E-mail: thierry.kervyn@spw.wallonie.be Mr. Ben Van Der Wijden **Brussels Capital Region** Department of Environment Brussels Institute for Environment Phone: +32 2 775 7901 E-mail: bvanderwijden@environnement.brussels

Compilers and contributors to this report > Jeroen Panis; Ludo Holsbeek; Thierry Kervyn; Ben Van der Wijden

B. Status of bat species within the territory

Please assess a national status ONLY for those bat species from the Annex 1 to EUROBATS Agreement that were recorded in your country

Rhinolophus ferrumequinum (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species occurrence $\ensuremath{\square}$ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ CR, Critically Endangered

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

🗹 Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

 $\mathsf{FV}=\mathsf{favourable};\,\mathsf{U1}=\mathsf{unfavourable}{-}\mathsf{inadequate};\,\mathsf{U2}=\mathsf{unfavourable}{-}\mathsf{bad});\,\mathsf{XX}=\mathsf{unknown}.$ NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic			V		
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Rhinolophus hipposideros (Bechstein, 1800)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ CR, Critically Endangered

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? vertice 2 Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

	FV	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					V
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Barbastella barbastellus (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ CR, Critically Endangered

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? ☑ Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					1
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Eptesicus nilssonii (Keyserling & Blasius, 1839)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Occasional

Overall national trend ☑ Not studied

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) \square NE, not evaluated

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? \Box No

Eptesicus serotinus (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species occurrence $\ensuremath{\square}$ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) \square VU, Vulnerable

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? vertice 2 Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

 $\mathsf{FV}=\mathsf{favourable};\,\mathsf{U1}=\mathsf{unfavourable}{-}\mathsf{inadequate};\,\mathsf{U2}=\mathsf{unfavourable}{-}\mathsf{bad});\,\mathsf{XX}=\mathsf{unknown}.$ NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis alcathoe von Helversen & Heller, 2001

Status of the species occurrence $\ensuremath{\square}$ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Not studied

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? v No

Myotis bechsteinii (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species occurrence $\ensuremath{\square}$ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Indeterminate

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) \square EN, Endangered

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? v Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

 $\mathsf{FV}=\mathsf{favourable};\,\mathsf{U1}=\mathsf{unfavourable}{-}\mathsf{inadequate};\,\mathsf{U2}=\mathsf{unfavourable}{-}\mathsf{bad});\,\mathsf{XX}=\mathsf{unknown}.$ NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis brandtii (Eversmann, 1845)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Indeterminate

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? vertice Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic				V	
Boreal					
Continental				V	
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis dasycneme (Boie, 1825)

Status of the species occurrence $\ensuremath{\square}$ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Negative

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ EN, Endangered

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

☑ Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic			Ø		
Boreal					
Continental			Ø		
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis daubentonii (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species occurrence $\ensuremath{\square}$ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Indeterminate

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) \square LC, Least Concern

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic			V		
Boreal					
Continental		V			
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis emarginatus (Geoffroy, 1806)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend Positive

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) \square EN, Endangered

⊡ Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

	FV	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic			\checkmark		

Boreal			
Continental			
Macaronesian			
Mediterranean			
Arctic			
Black Sea			
Pannonian			
Steppic			
Anatolian			

Myotis myotis (Borkhausen, 1797)

Status of the species occurrence $\ensuremath{\square}$ Resident

Overall national trend Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ CR, Critically Endangered

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? vertice 2 Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

 $\mathsf{FV}=\mathsf{favourable};\,\mathsf{U1}=\mathsf{unfavourable}\text{-inadequate};\,\mathsf{U2}=\mathsf{unfavourable}\text{-bad});\,\mathsf{XX}=\mathsf{unknown}.$ NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic			Ø		
Boreal					
Continental		V			
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis mystacinus (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ LC, Least Concern

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

☑ Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Myotis nattereri (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Positive

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) LC, Least Concern

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? ☑ Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal					
Continental	\square				
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					

Arctic			
Black Sea			
Pannonian			
Steppic			
Anatolian			

Nyctalus lasiopterus (Schreber, 1780)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Occasional

Overall national trend ☑ Indeterminate

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ NE, not evaluated

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? ⊠ No

Nyctalus leisleri (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ EN, Endangered

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? ☑ Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic		V			
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Nyctalus noctula (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ VU, Vulnerable

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? ☑ Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic		Ø			
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Pipistrellus kuhlii (Kuhl, 1817)

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ NE, not evaluated

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? ☑ No

Pipistrellus nathusii (Keyserling & Blasius, 1839)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Stable

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ LC, Least Concern

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? ☑ Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

 FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic		V			
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Pipistrellus pipistrellus (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species occurrence $\ensuremath{\square}$ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Indeterminate

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) \square LC, Least Concern

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

☑ Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					

Anatolian					
-----------	--	--	--	--	--

Pipistrellus pygmaeus (Leach, 1825)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Indeterminate

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? \Box No

Plecotus auritus (Linnaeus, 1758)

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Indeterminate

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) \square NT, Near Threatened

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? v Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic					
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Plecotus austriacus (Fischer, 1829)

Status of the species occurrence $\ensuremath{\square}$ Resident

Overall national trend ☑ Indeterminate

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ EN, Endangered

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)?

☑ Yes

Conservation status per biogeographical region

FV = favourable; U1 = unfavourable-inadequate; U2 = unfavourable-bad); XX = unknown. NO = doesn't occur in the region

	F V	U1	U2	x x	N O
Alpine					
Atlantic		V			
Boreal					
Continental					
Macaronesian					
Mediterranean					
Arctic					
Black Sea					
Pannonian					
Steppic					
Anatolian					

Vespertilio murinus Linnaeus, 1758

Status of the species occurrence ☑ Occasional

Overall national trend ☑ Indeterminate

Status in the National Red List (when it exists) ☑ NE, not evaluated

Has the status been reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries)? ⊠ No

C. Measures taken to implement Article III of the Agreement

Does the national legislation protect all bat species? $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

1. Guidelines for the issue of permits for the capture and study of captured wild bats

Does the system of permits or licenses for the capture of bats exist in your country? $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

System of permits or licences to keep bats for educational or animal welfare purposes $\ensuremath{\square}$ In place

System of permits or licences for sampling, ringing, killing of bats for scientific studies $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Exists

2.Identified and protected sites which are important to the conservation of bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 5.7. Guidelines for the protection of overground roosts, with particular reference to roosts in buildings of cultural heritage importance

2.4. List of national important overground roosts (including legal/physical protection status) ☑ Exists

2.5. National guidelines for custodians of historical buildings on the protection of bat roosts have been developed ☑ No

Resolution 7.6. Guidelines for the protection and management of important underground habitats for bats

Updated counts of bats at each listed site are submitted to the Secretariat $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

2.1. List of important underground sites

2.1. List of important underground sites for bats and measures of their protection (including Natura 2000, Emerald or other status) was submitted to EUROBATS ☑ Yes

2.2. Management of important underground sites for bats is in accordance with EUROBATS Publication n°2 $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

3. Consideration given to habitats which are important to bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 7.7. Bat conservation and sustainable forest management

National guidance has been developed based on the principles in the EUROBATS Bats and Forestry leaflet $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

Examples of best practice for forest management are submitted to the Secretariat $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

Resolution 7.8. Conservation and management of critical feeding areas, core areas around colonies and commuting routes

Measures to take bats into account in land use and planning decisions $\ensuremath{\square}$ Yes

Research and monitoring to improve understanding of the use of landscape by bats are ongoing $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

National guidelines, drawing on the general guidance published in EUROBATS Publication have been developed

🗹 No

5. Additional actions undertaken to safeguard populations of bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 2.2. Consistent monitoring methodologies

5.1. Implementation of EUROBATS guidelines published in EUROBATS Publication n°5 to ensure consistency and information exchange between Parties and Range States ☑ Yes

Resolution 5.4. Monitoring bats across Europe

5.11. Involvement in a long-term pan-European surveillance to provide trend data $\ensuremath{\sc Ves}$ Yes

Collaboration and information exchange with other Parties and range states on surveillance and monitoring activities

🗹 Yes

5.14. Monitoring bats in accordance with EUROBATS Publication n°5 $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

5.15. Capacity building of bat workers and surveyors to support the undertaking of bat surveillance projects

Exists

Resolution 6.6. Guidelines for the prevention, detection and control of lethal fungal infections in bats

5.17. Surveillance for the presence of fungal infections $\ensuremath{\square}$ Yes

Resolution 6.13. Bats as indicators for biodiversity

5.19. Does your country support a development of national, regional and pan-European biodiversity indicators for appropriate target audiences, using bat data ☑ Yes

5.20. Bat data is incorporated within high profile national multi-taxa indicators $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

5.22. Cooperation platforms that facilitate the required data exchange $\ensuremath{\square}$ Exist

Resolution 7.5. Wind turbines and bat populations

5.2. Raising awareness on the impact of turbines on bats and the existence of some unsuitable habitats or sites for construction ☑ Yes

5.3. Pre-construction impact assessments, if possible, undertaken by suitably experienced bat experts \square Yes

5.4. National guidelines were developed following Eurobats Pub. No. 6 $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

National guidelines are implemented ☑ Yes

5.5. Investigations and research for mitigating bat mortality have been undertaken ☑ Yes

5.7. Post-construction monitoring, if possible, is undertaken by suitably experienced bat experts

If yes, give details ☑ Yes

5.8. Raw data from environmental impact assessment and post-construction monitoring is available for independent scientific analysis ☑ Yes

Resolution 7.9. Impact of roads and other traffic infrastructures on bats

5.23. Bats are taken into account during the planning, construction and operation of roads and other infrastructure projects ☑ Yes

5.24 Pre-construction strategic and environmental impacts assessment procedures are mandatory $\ensuremath{\square}$ Are mandatory

5.25. Post-construction monitoring $\ensuremath{\square}$ Isn't required

5.27. Research into the impact of new and, where appropriate, existing roads and other infrastructure on bats and into the effectiveness of mitigation measures \Box No

5.28. National guidelines are developed ☑ No

Resolution 7.10. Bat Rescue and Rehabilitation

5.29. Animal rescue and rehabilitation systems are effective in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

5.30. Collaboration between bat rehabilitators and scientists $\ensuremath{\square}$ Exists

5.31. Bat rehabilitators contribute their data to a national database $\ensuremath{\square}$ Yes

Resolution 7.11. Bats and building insulation

5.32. Are there conflicts between insulation regulations and bat conservation? $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

5.34. Impacts on bats are included in the environmental assessment of insulation programs

☑ No

Resolution 7.12. Priority species for autecological studies

Rhinolophus blasii Peters, 1866

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

Eptesicus isabellinus (Temminck, 1840)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

Myotis escalerai Cabrera, 1904

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

Nyctalus azoreum (Thomas, 1901)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

Nyctalus lasiopterus (Schreber, 1780)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

Pipistrellus hanaki Hulva & Benda, 2004

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

Pipistrellus maderensis (Dobson, 1878)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

Plecotus kolombatovici Dulic, 1980

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

Plecotus sardus Mucedda, Kiefer, Pidinchedda & Veith, 2002

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

Plecotus teneriffae Barrett-Hamilton, 1907

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

6. Recent and ongoing programmes (including research and policy initiatives) relating to conservation and management of bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 2.3. Transboundary programme: species proposals

6.1. Inclusion of Myotis dasycneme and Pipistrellus nathusii in transboundary cooperation

🗹 Yes

Resolution 2.4. Transboundary programme: habitat proposals

6.2. National research on underground sites has been undertaken since the last reporting $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes

6.3. National research on bats in forests $\ensuremath{\square}$ Yes

Resolution 5.2. Bat rabies in Europe

6.5. National bat rabies surveillance network ☑ Yes

6.6. Vaccination against rabies is compulsory $\ensuremath{\square}$ No

Resolution 6.5. Guidelines on ethics for research and field work practices

Resolution 6.8. Monitoring of daily and seasonal movements of bats

Please select a species for which a research in daily/seasonal movements has been conducted from the list

Barbastella barbastellus (Schreber, 1774)

New data on daily movements was obtained $\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\square}}$ Yes

New data on seasonal movements was obtained $\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\square}}$ No

Myotis emarginatus (Geoffroy, 1806)

New data on seasonal movements was obtained $\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\boxtimes}}$ Yes

7. Consideration being given to the potential effects of pesticides on bats, and their food sources and efforts to replace timber treatment chemicals which are highly toxic to bats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 4.5. Guidelines for the use of remedial timber treatment

7.1. Small projects to provide basic data to allow an assessment of the potential impact of industry on bat populations ☑ No

7.2. Raising awareness of product users is taking place $\ensuremath{\square}$ No

7.3. Legislation on products which have any adverse effects on bats $\ensuremath{\square}$ Exists

Resolution 6.15. Impact on bat populations of the use of antiparasitic drugs for livestock

7.4. Efficient non-chemical methods to control livestock parasites and use of products of least toxicity to non-target species implemented ☑ Yes

7.5. Research on the use of antiparasitic drugs $\ensuremath{\square}$ Yes

7.6. Recommendations in Annex I to the Resolution 6.15 are adopted $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Yes