

EUROBATS

EUROBATS National Implementation Report MoP9

This questionnaire reflects obligations of the Parties listed in Resolution 8.11 Implementation of the Conservation and Management Plan (2019 - 2022) and other effective Resolutions.

In case of technical issues and questions, please use a support center button in the bottom before contacting the Secretariat.

A. General Information

Name of your country >>> Republic of Armenia

Period covered by this report >>> 2018-2022

Is your country a party to EUROBATS Agreement? $\ \square$ No

Compilers and contributors to this report

>>> Sevak Baloyan-Head of the Department of Bioresources Management, Ministry of Environment, Administrative Focal Point.

Range state information

Please describe the situation with ratification of EUROBATS Agreement by your country >>> Armenia has signed and ratified more than 22 international environmental conventions and protocols, which are essential prerequisites for the elaboration of the national environmental policy, strategies, and concepts, in compliance with sustainable development approaches promoting environmental protection and biodiversity conservation. One of the aforementioned conventions is the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals

The Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Armenia recognizes the expediency of joining the Agreement on the Conservation of Populations of European Bats (EUROBATS), which is conditioned by the representation of valuable species of bats on the territory of Armenia and the necessity to protect their habitats.

The issue of joining the Agreement on the Conservation of Populations of European Bats is a necessity for the Republic of Armenia since like all the animals, the bats also do not recognize geopolitical borders. For the study and preservation of bats, detection, and organizing the prevention of diseases spread through them, it is important to have comprehensive information on the activity of the bats, migration routes, and endangered species, and to exchange this information with the European and regional research groups.

The meeting of the board adjunct to the Minister of Environment of the RA, in 2018, approved the issue of accession of the Republic of Armenia to the Agreement on the Conservation of Populations of European Bats (EUROBATS). Unfortunately, the process of joining the agreement is still being delayed due to some issues (structural changes in the ministry of environment, changes in the management system, etc.) Despite the above circumstances, hope that in the near future the Republic of Armenia will join the abovementioned Agreement.

Bats species which occur in the territory

Please select only species which were recorded from your country

Species: Rhinolophus blasii Peters, 1866

Status of the species within the territory

Status of occurence

Please give details if the species is not resident. E.g. year of extinction, description of occasional findings etc. Text boxes are expandable.

Occasional

Conservational status

Overall national trend

☑ Not studied

☑ Stable

Status in the National Red List

Please indicate status of the species in the national red data list or similar document \leadsto EN

Year of the Red List assesment >>> 2010

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive (2019) or for the Emerald

✓ No

Species: Rhinolophus euryale Blasius, 1853

Status of the species within the territory

Status of occurence

Please give details if the species is not resident. E.g. year of extinction, description of occasional findings etc. Text boxes are expandable.

☑ Resident: breeding

Conservational status

Overall national trend

Stable

Status in the National Red List

Please indicate status of the species in the national red data list or similar document >>> VU

Year of the Red List assesment >>> 2010

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Rhinolophus ferrumequinum (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species within the territory

Status of occurence

Please give details if the species is not resident. E.g. year of extinction, description of occasional findings etc. Text boxes are expandable.

☑ Resident: breeding☑ Resident: hibernation

Conservational status

Overall national trend

☑ Stable

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Rhinolophus hipposideros (Borkhausen, 1797)

Status of the species within the territory

Status of occurence

Please give details if the species is not resident. E.g. year of extinction, description of occasional findings etc. Text boxes are expandable.

☑ Resident: breeding

Conservational status

Overall national trend

Stable

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Rhinolophus mehelyi Matschie, 1901 Status of the species within the territory

Conservational status

Overall national trend

Stable

Status in the National Red List

Please indicate status of the species in the national red data list or similar document >>> VU

Year of the Red List assesment >>> 2010

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Barbastella barbastellus (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Barbastella caspica Satunin, 1908 Status of the species within the territory

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Eptesicus serotinus (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Hypsugo savii (Bonaparte, 1837)
Status of the species within the territory

Status of occurence

Please give details if the species is not resident. E.g. year of extinction, description of occasional findings etc. Text boxes are expandable.

☑ Resident: breeding

Conservational status

Overall national trend

Stable

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Myotis alcathoe von Helversen & Heller, 2001

Status of the species within the territory

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Myotis davidii (Peters, 1869)
Status of the species within the territory

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Myotis bechsteinii (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species within the territory

Conservational status

Status in the National Red List

Please indicate status of the species in the national red data list or similar document >>> VU

Year of the Red List assesment >>> 2010

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Myotis blythii (Tomes, 1857)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Myotis daubentonii (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Myotis emarginatus (Geoffroy, 1806)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Myotis mystacinus (Kuhl, 1817) Status of the species within the territory Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Myotis nattereri (Kuhl, 1817)
Status of the species within the territory
Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Myotis schaubi Kormos, 1934
Status of the species within the territory
Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Nyctalus lasiopterus (Schreber, 1780)
Status of the species within the territory
Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Nyctalus leisleri (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Nyctalus noctula (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Pipistrellus kuhlii (Kuhl, 1817)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

☑ No

Species: Pipistrellus nathusii (Keyserling & Blasius, 1839)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Pipistrellus pipistrellus (Schreber, 1774)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Pipistrellus pygmaeus (Leach, 1825)

Status of the species within the territory

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Plecotus auritus (Linnaeus, 1758)

Status of the species within the territory

Conservational status

Status in the National Red List

Please indicate status of the species in the national red data list or similar document $\stackrel{\text{\tiny NY}}{\text{\tiny NY}}$ VU

Year of the Red List assesment >>> 2010

Natura 2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Plecotus macrobullaris Kuzyakin, 1965

Status of the species within the territory

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

✓ No

Species: Vespertilio murinus Linnaeus, 1758

Status of the species within the territory

Natura2000 or Emerald reports

Has the national status reported under the Article 17 of the Habitat Directive(2019) or for the Emerald network (non-EU countries) changed since the previous assessment?

1. Legal Requirements

Resolution 4.6. Guidelines for the issue of permits for the capture and study of captured wild bats

Does the system of permits or licenses for the capture of bats exist in your country? \square Yes

System of permits or licences for the keeping of bats for educational or animal welfare purposes \square Doesn't exist

System of permits or licences for sampling, ringing, killing of bats for scientific study \square Exists

Comments

>>> It is required to apply to the Ministry of Environment to get permission for these activities. There is no official permit or license system in force.

Resolution 6.5. Guidelines on ethics for research and field work practices

National Code of Practice that addresses the context and legitimacy of acquisition, due diligence, long-term care, documentation, relevance and institutional aims

 ☑ Doesn't exist

Please, give details of the legislation which is protecting bats

>>> In Armenia, bats are protected by the National Legislation of Armenia.

The following legal acts can be highlighted in this regard:

- 1. 2000 Law on Fauna,
- 2. 2006 Law on Specially Protected Natural Areas,
- 3. 2010 Red Book of Armenia

Which species are not protected and why?

>>> According to the law on the fauna of Armenia, the fauna in the Republic of Armenia is the property of the state. Therefore, all species are protected by the legislation in Armenia.

2. Population survey and monitoring

Resolution 2.2. Consistent monitoring methodologies

Implementation of EUROBATS guidelines published in EUROBATS Publication $n^{\circ}5$ to ensure consistency and information exchange between Parties and Range States \square Yes

Resolution 5.4. Monitoring bats across Europe

Involvement in a long-term pan-European surveillance to provide trend data $\ \square$ No

Awareness-raising of the importance of underground sites

Collaboration and information exchange with other Parties and range states on surveillance and monitoring activities

Yes

Monitoring bats in accordance with EUROBATS Publication $n^\circ 5$

Resolution 6.13. Bats as indicators for biodiversity

Does your country support a development of national, regional and pan-European biodiversity indicators for appropriate target audiences, using bat data

Cooperation platforms that facilitate the required data exchange $\ \square$ Don't exist

Resolution 7.7. Bat conservation and sustainable forest management

National guidance has been developed based on the principles in the EUROBATS Bats and Forestry leaflet $\ \square$ No

Resolution 7.10. Bat Rescue and Rehabilitation

Collaboration between bat rehabilitators and scientists

Doesn't exist

Bat rehabilitators submit their data to a national database $\ensuremath{\,ert}$ No

Resolution 7.12. Priority species for autecological studies

Priority Species Rhinolophus blasii Peters, 1866

The species occures in the country and some studies have been done

Studies on:

| | Swarming sites | Winter roosts | Summer roosts | Migratio n | Spatial and habitat use | Foraging behaviour | Die t |
|-----|----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Yes | | | | | V | | |
| No | | | | | | | |

Priority Species Eptesicus isabellinus (Temminck, 1840)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ensuremath{\square}$ No

Priority Species Myotis escalerai Cabrera, 1904

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Priority Species Nyctalus azoreum (Thomas, 1901)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Priority Species Nyctalus lasiopterus (Schreber, 1780)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \ \square$ No

Priority Species Pipistrellus hanaki Hulva & Benda, 2004

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Priority Species Pipistrellus maderensis (Dobson, 1878)

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Priority Species Plecotus kolombatovici Dulic, 1980

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Priority Species Plecotus sardus Mucedda, Kiefer, Pidinchedda & Veith, 2002

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Priority Species Plecotus teneriffae Barrett-Hamilton, 1907

Some studies have been conducted (are ongoing) for this species in the country $\ \square$ No

Resolution 8.4 Wind Turbines and Bat Populations

Raising awareness on the impact of turbines on bats and the existence of some unsuitable habitats or sites for construction

✓ No

✓ No

Are impact assessment procedures and post-construction monitoring undertaken by appropriately experienced experts?

National guidelines have been developed following Eurobats Pub. No. 6

National guidelines are implemented
☑ Partially

Investigations and research for mitigating bat mortality have been undertaken

Post-construction monitoring, if possible, is undertaken by suitably experienced bat experts

If yes, give details

✓ No

Developers of wind energy projects and responsible authorities make raw data from impact assessment and post-construction monitoring available for independent analysis.

Measures such as blade feathering, higher turbine cut-in wind speeds and shutting down turbines are

Resolution 8.10 Recommended Experience and Skills of Experts with regard to Quality of Assessments

Compliance with Annex to Resolution 8.10

Experts/groups of experts carrying out assessment of projects, plans and programmes on populations of European bats meet the minimum standard of skills, knowledge and experience as described in the Annex to Resolution 8.10

☑ Yes, completely or partially

3. Roosts

Resolution 4.5. Guidelines for the use of remedial timber treatment

Small projects to provide basic data to allow an assessment of the potential impact of industry on bat populations

☑ No

Raising awareness of product users is taking place

✓ No

Legislation on products which have any adverse effects on bats

□ Doesn't exist

Resolution 5.7. Guidelines for the protection of overground roosts, with particular reference to roosts in buildings of cultural heritage importance

List of national important overground roosts (including legal/physical protection status)

☑ Exists

National guidelines for custodians of historical buildings on the protection of bat roosts have been developed

✓ No

Resolution 7.6. Guidelines for the protection and management of important underground habitats for bats

List of important underground sites for bats and measures of their protection (including Natura 2000, Emerald or other status) was submitted to EUROBATS \square No

Updated counts of bats at each listed site are submitted to the Secretariat $\ \square$ No

Management of important underground sites for bats is in accordance with EUROBATS Publication n^2 \square No

4. Habitats

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 7.9. Impact of roads and other traffic infrastructures on bats

Bats are taken into account during the planning, construction and operation of roads and other infrastructure projects

Pre-construction strategic and environmental impacts assessment procedures are mandatory $\ \square$ Are mandatory

Post-construction monitoring

☑ Required occasionally

Raw data from environmental impact assessment and post-construction monitoring is available for independent scientific analysis

✓ No

Research into the impact of new and, where appropriate, existing roads and other infrastructure on bats and into the effectiveness of mitigation measures \square No

8. Diseases

Click "expand" to see the questions!

Resolution 5.2.Bat rabies in Europe

National bat rabies surveillance network
☑ No

Resolution 6.6. Guidelines for the prevention, detection and control of lethal fungal infections in bats

C. Confirmation

Confirmation of information verification and approval for submission

Please confirm:

In addition a scanned copy of an official letter from the relevant state institution, approving the report for submission, can be attached.

☑ I declare that the information provided in the Report on the implementation of EUROBATS has been verified and the report has been approved for submission by the appropriate state institution in the country.